

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. Out of 300 minutes of air-time purchased each year (on which taxes are paid) for 2 wireless phones, my wife and I use less than 50 minutes per year, combined. The phones are strictly for emergencies and are currently borderline affordable. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee as it will mean that we will be forced to relinquish the safety and security of the wireless phones that we keep in our vehicles but rarely use.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Ronald Weinstein
1316 N Meridian Rd
Mason, Michigan 48854